Response dated June 20, 2007

Reply to Office Action of March 20, 2007

Docket No. BOC9-2003-0060 (434)

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the instant application:

What is claimed is:

1. (Currently Amended) A method of arranging grammar files in a presentation list, comprising the steps of:

receiving a <u>callflow development</u> system request to visually display with a <u>in a</u> callflow development graphical user interface the grammar files in the presentation list;

sorting the grammar files based on a first criterion that assigns user-defined grammar files priority over built-in grammar files; and

sorting the grammar files according to a second criterion; and

simultaneously displaying user-defined grammar files and the built-in grammar files within the presentation list wherein such that each user-defined grammar file is visually distinguishable from each built-in grammar file by presenting elements of the built-in grammar files and elements of the user-defined grammar files as a sequential list of elements wherein elements of the user-defined grammar files are presented ahead of elements of the built-in grammar files.

- 2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the method further comprises the step of displaying the grammar files when a user selects the grammar files.
- 3. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of visually displaying comprises presenting the presentation list such that each grammar file is labeled with a label indicating whether the grammar file is a user-defined grammar file or a built-in grammar file.

Response dated June 20, 2007

Reply to Office Action of March 20, 2007

Docket No. BOC9-2003-0060 (434)

4. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of visually displaying

comprises presenting the presentation list such that each grammar file is presented in a

text format that indicates whether the grammar file is a user-defined grammar file or a

built-in grammar file.

5. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the user-defined grammar

files and the built-in grammar files can share the same name.

6. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the second criterion is an

alphabetical order.

7. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the second criterion is a

chronological order.

8. (Currently Amended) A system for arranging grammar files in a presentation list

comprises:

a memory; and

a processor programmed to

receive a callflow development system request to display the grammar files

from the memory in the presentation list,

sort the grammar files based on a first criterion that assigns user-defined

grammar files priority over built-in grammar files,

sort the grammar files according to a second criterion, and

simultaneously display the user-defined grammar files and the built-in

grammar files in the presentation list in a callflow development graphical user

interface[[,]] wherein such that each user-defined grammar file is visually

distinguishable from each built-in grammar file by presenting elements of the

3

Response dated June 20, 2007

Reply to Office Action of March 20, 2007

Docket No. BOC9-2003-0060 (434)

built-in grammar files and elements of the user-defined grammar files as a

sequential list of elements wherein elements of the user-defined grammar files are

presented ahead of elements of the built-in grammar files.

9. (Original) The system of claim 8, wherein the processor is further programmed to

display the grammar files when a user selects the grammar files.

10. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 8, wherein the processor is further

programmed to distinguish between a user-defined grammar and a built-in grammar by

displaying the presentation list such that each grammar file is labeled with a label

indicating whether the grammar file is a user-defined grammar file or a built-in grammar

file.

11. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 8, wherein the processor is further

programmed to distinguish between a user-defined grammar and a built-in grammar by

displaying the presentation list such that each grammar file is presented in a text format

that indicates whether the grammar file is a user-defined grammar file or a built-in

grammar file.

12. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 8, wherein the user-defined grammar

files and the built-in grammar files can share the same name.

13. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 8, wherein the second criterion is an

alphabetical order.

14. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 8, wherein the second criterion is a

chronological order.

4

Response dated June 20, 2007

Reply to Office Action of March 20, 2007

Docket No. BOC9-2003-0060 (434)

15. (Original) The system of claim 8, wherein the presentation list is at least one among

a drop-down list and a list box.

16. (Currently Amended) A machine-readable storage, having stored thereon a computer

program having a plurality of code sections executable by a machine for causing the

machine to optimally arrange grammar files in a presentation list, comprising the steps of:

receiving a <u>callflow development</u> system request to visually display with a <u>in a</u>

callflow development graphical user interface the grammar files in the presentation list;

sorting the grammar files based on a first criterion that assigns user-defined

grammar files priority over built-in grammar files;

sorting the grammar files according to a second criterion; and

visually displaying the presentation list wherein such that each user-defined

grammar file is simultaneously displayed and visually distinguishable from each built-in

grammar file by presenting elements of the built-in grammar files and elements of the

user-defined grammar files as a sequential list of elements wherein elements of the user-

defined grammar files are presented ahead of elements of the built-in grammar files.

17. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable storage of claim 16, wherein the

machine-readable storage is further programmed to sort by the second criterion being an

alphabetical order.

18. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable storage of claim 16, wherein the

machine-readable storage is further programmed to sort by the second criterion being a

chronological order.

5 .